Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Tectonophysics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tecto

# The magnificent seven: A proposal for modest revision of the Van der Voo (1990) quality index



TECTONOPHYSICS

Joseph G. Meert<sup>a,\*</sup>, Anthony F. Pivarunas<sup>a</sup>, David A.D. Evans<sup>b</sup>, Sergei A. Pisarevsky<sup>c,e</sup>, Lauri J. Pesonen<sup>d</sup>, Zheng-Xiang Li<sup>c</sup>, Sten-Åke Elming<sup>f</sup>, Scott R. Miller<sup>a</sup>, Shihong Zhang<sup>g</sup>, Johanna M. Salminen<sup>h</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Geological Sciences, 241 Williamson Hall, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

<sup>c</sup> Earth Dynamics Research Group, ARC Centre of Excellence for Core to Crust Fluid Systems (CCFS), The Institute for Geoscience Research (TIGeR), School of Earth and

Planetary Sciences, Curtin University, Perth 6485, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

e Institute of the Earth's Crust, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Irkutsk 664033, Russia

<sup>f</sup> Division of Earth Sciences, Luleå University of Technology, 97187 Luleå, Sweden

<sup>g</sup> State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China

<sup>h</sup> Department of Geosciences and Geography, P.O. Box 64, University of Helsinki, FI-00014, Finland

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Paleomagnetism Reliability R-Index Q-Index Database

#### ABSTRACT

Thirty years ago, Rob Van der Voo proposed an elegant and simple system for evaluating the quality of paleomagnetic data. As a second-year Ph.D. student, the lead author remembers Rob waxing philosophical about the need to have an appropriate, but not overly rigid evaluation system. The end result was a 7-point system that assigned a (1) or (0) for any paleomagnetic result based on objective criteria. The goal was never to reject or blindly accept any particular result, but merely to indicate the degree of quality for any paleomagnetic pole. At the time, the global paleomagnetic database was burgeoning and it was deemed useful to rank older paleomagnetic results with the newer data being developed in modern laboratories. Van der Voo's, 1990 paper launched a silent revolution in paleomagnetism. Researchers began to evaluate their data against those seven criteria with the anticipation that reviewers would be similarly critical.

Today, paleomagnetism is a mature science. Our methods, analyses, and results are more sophisticated than they were 30 years ago. Therefore, we feel it is appropriate to revisit the Van der Voo (1990) criteria in light of those developments. We hope to honor the intention of the original paper by keeping the criteria simple and easy to evaluate while also acknowledging the advances in science. This paper aims to update the criteria and modernize the process. We base our changes on advances in paleomagnetism and geochronology with a faithful adherence to the simplicity of the original publication. We offer the "Reliability" or "R" index as the next generation of the Van der Voo "Quality" or "Q" index. The new R-criteria evaluate seven different information items for each paleomagnetic pole including age, statistical requirements, identification of magnetic carriers, field tests, structural integrity, presence of reversals and an evaluation for possible remagnetization.

#### 1. Introduction

Paleomagnetic studies were a crucial element in verifying continental mobility and the establishment of plate tectonics as the prevailing paradigm in Earth Sciences in the 1950's and 1960's (Cox and Doell, 1960; Opdyke, 1995 and references therein). Nowadays, paleomagnetic inquiry yields a quantitative assessment of plate motion and true polar wander over the bulk of geologic time, and is the primary evidence used in quantitative continental reconstructions. Furthermore, paleomagnetic data forms the basis for evaluating the evolution of the geodynamo. As the number of paleomagnetic results increased and techniques for isolating magnetic components were refined, it became apparent that not all studies were equally reliable. A number of 'filtering' techniques were proposed to assess the reliability of an individual result (Irving et al., 1976; Briden and Duff, 1981; May and Butler, 1986; Piper, 1987; Pesonen et al., 1989; Li et al., 1990; Buchan

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jmeert@ufl.edu (J.G. Meert).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228549

Received 8 March 2020; Received in revised form 15 June 2020; Accepted 21 June 2020 Available online 27 June 2020 0040-1951/ © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. et al., 2000). Additional rejection/selection criteria were sometimes used on an ad-hoc basis (see for example Westphal and Pfaff, 1986), but it was not until Van der Voo's (1990) study that a schema for grading paleomagnetic poles was widely applied.

Three parallel developments made the Van der Voo (1990) criteria immediately relevant to the paleomagnetic community. The first "Nordic Paleomagnetic workshop" took place in Espoo, Finland, in 1986, and the second in Sweden in 1990 (Pesonen, 1987; Elming and Pesonen, 2009). These workshops brought together experts in paleomagnetism from the U.S.A. and Europe to review paleomagnetic poles and evaluate their quality and reliability. The first two workshops, though limited in scope to critical reviews of paleomagnetic data from Baltica and Laurentia, provided the framework for subsequent global expansion. The second important development to arise in this time frame was the hypothesis of the Precambrian supercontinent Rodinia (McMenamin and McMenamin, 1990; Dalziel, 1991; Moores, 1991; Hoffman, 1991). Precambrian paleomagnetic studies were relevant to the Rodinia hypothesis, but the quality of the database was viewed with skepticism (Van der Voo and Meert, 1991; Piper, 1987). Perhaps the most important impetus for advancing a grading scheme of paleomagnetic data was the creation of the Global Paleomagnetic Database (GPMDB, McElhinny and Lock, 1990; Lock and McElhinny, 1991). Global compilations of paleomagnetic data were available prior to the GPMDB (for example Irving, 1960; McElhinny, 1968; Khramov, 1971), but they were neither digital nor easily searchable. McElhinny and Lock (1990) purposely avoided grading individual poles, but the compilation provided an easily queried database for critically evaluating published paleomagnetic data. Rob Van der Voo was involved in all three prongs of these overlapping research foci and had the forethought to develop a scheme for evaluating data leading to a critical appraisal of our scientific approach to paleomagnetic studies.

#### 2. The magnificent seven

Van der Voo (1990) proposed seven criteria to evaluate individual paleomagnetic studies, given in a simplified form in Table 1. The original criteria were fleshed out by Van der Voo (1993) in a discussion of (largely Cambrian and younger) paleomagnetism and paleogeography. The following review of those criteria is couched within the knowledge base of the early 1990s.

The first criterion is met when the age of the magnetization is the same as the age of the rock to within a half-period (or  $\pm$  4%, whichever is larger) for the Phanerozoic and to within  $\pm$  4% (or 40 Ma, whichever is smaller) for the Precambrian. These age limits were based on comparing average rates of apparent polar wander with uncertainties surrounding mean poles of Phanerozoic and Paleoproterozoic age.

The second criterion established a statistical norm for the precision of paleomagnetic data based on the number of samples required ( $N \ge 25$ ), the clustering parameter k for directional data means (Dec, Inc) or K for the of virtual geomagnetic poles (VGP's), where k(K)  $\ge 10$ 

and the cone of 95% confidence about the mean direction  $\alpha_{95}$  (or  $A_{95}$  for paleomagnetic poles) is  $\leq 16^{\circ}$  (Fisher, 1953).

Adequate demagnetization techniques used to isolate mean vectors forms the basis for the third criterion. This requires stepwise alternating field, thermal or chemical demagnetization techniques that can separate multicomponent magnetizations through the use of principal component or great-circle analyses (Zijderveld, 1967; Halls, 1976, 1978; Kirschvink, 1980).

Criterion number four is met when the study can constrain the age of magnetization via a field test. One of the commonly used field tests is the fold test (Graham, 1949; Cox and Doell, 1960; McElhinny, 1964), wherein the age of magnetization is confirmed to be older/younger (or coeval with) the deformational event that resulted in tilting/folding of the rocks. The fold test (Graham, 1949; Fig. 1) is most useful when the age of folding is only slightly younger than the rocks. Statistical complications of the fold test are discussed at length in McFadden and Jones (1981), McFadden (1990, 1998), Watson and Enkin (1983), Tauxe and Watson (1994) and Enkin (2003).

The baked contact test (Everitt and Clegg, 1962) is relatively straightforward in concept, though often problematic in the field. Fig. 2a shows a theoretical field setting of a dyke intrusion and the expected thermal imprint on the host rocks. A positive baked contact test should ideally include all of the following (a) a stable high-unblocking temperature  $(T_{ub})$  magnetization in the intrusive body; (b) a stable high  $T_{ub}$  magnetization in the baked zone; (c) a stable, but lower  $T_{ub}$  magnetization in the 'hybrid' (or partially baked) zone that matches the direction in the intrusion and a stable high  $T_{ub}$  that matches the stable host rock direction. Ideally, the  $T_{ub}$  of the dyke component should decrease with increasing distance away from the contact and; (d) a stable high  $T_{ub}$  magnetization in the host rock that is distinct from the intrusive body (Fig. 3b). Schwarz (1977) proposed a baked contact profile test which requires a more detailed sampling profile through the intrusive body, contact, and host rocks in order to demonstrate the acquisition of a partial thermal remanent magnetization (pTRM) that decreases with distance from the contact (Mclelland-Brown, 1981; Hyodo and Dunlop, 1993; Buchan et al. (1993).

Graham (1949) introduced the conglomerate test (Fig. 3), positing that clasts of parental rocks should have their directions randomized during subsequent transport. A test for randomness was suggested by Bruckshaw and Vincenz (1954) and later formalized and quantified by (Watson (1956a, 1956b); see also Irving, 1964; Stephens, 1964; Gine, 1975; Diggle et al., 1985; Shipunov et al., 1998; Heslop and Roberts 2018a). A positive test requires that the clasts have randomly oriented directions as compared to the underlying or adjacent lithology from which the clasts were derived. The age of the conglomerate (and its clasts) along with its relation to bounding units is a critical consideration for evaluating the significance of the test. The conglomerate test is best defined when the conglomerate is interbedded with the unit being investigated (intraformational conglomerate test; MacNiocaill, 2000; Meert et al., 2009; Levashova et al., 2009). Ideally, the demagnetization behavior (e.g. unblocking temperature and/or coercivity) and rock

Table 🛛	1
---------	---

an der voo (1990) enterna bannnary	an	der	Voo	(1990)	criteria	summary
------------------------------------	----	-----	-----	--------	----------	---------

Q	Brief Description	Limits				
1	Well-determined rock age and a presumption that magnetization is the same age	Within ½ period or $\pm$ 4% (whichever is larger) in the Phanerozoic. $\pm$ 4% or 40 Ma (whichever is smaller) in the Precambrian				
2	Sufficient number of samples and statistical limits	$k(K) \ge 10, \alpha_{95}(A_{95}) \le 16^{\circ}, N \ge 25$ samples				
3	Adequate demagnetization that demonstrably includes vector subtraction.	Zijderveld (1967), PCA (Kirschvink, 1980) or great circle analyses (Halls, 1976, 1978)				
4	Field Tests that constrain age of magnetization	Positive fold, baked contact or conglomerate tests that are statistically valid.				
5	Structural control, and tectonic coherence with craton or block involved	Results from thrust sheets or intrusives older than the last tectonic phase not valid.				
6	The presence of reversals	Presence of dual-polarity magnetization. No test required				
7	No resemblance to paleopoles of younger age (by more than a Period)	No suspicion of remagnetization.				



Fig. 1. Conceptual diagram of (a) positive fold test correction, where rotating inclination and declination data back to pre-folded values results in agreement between data within the folded bed and; (b) post-folding magnetization, where rotating inclination and declination back to pre-folded values results in scattering of data from within the folded unit.

magnetic characteristics of the conglomeratic clasts should be identical to their parent material (Buchan and Hodych, 1989; Meert et al., 1994).

The fifth Van der Voo (1990) quality criterion was developed to address the reality that paleomagnetic results from orogenic belts or from non-stratified (e.g. plutonic and metamorphic) rocks can be problematic. Carey (1958) realized that fold belts can experience simple rotations about a vertical axis. In the absence of a stable reference frame (craton), vertical axis rotations can still provide critical information regarding paleolatitude (Van der Voo and Channell, 1980). Without additional information, most plutonic and metamorphic rocks lack a suitable reference for paleohorizontal and therefore are less likely to provide useful information regarding the paleoposition of that block. Dyke swarms that preserve widespread verticality of the intrusions, especially amongst intersecting swarms of variable orientations, are a notable exception to this rule. Layered intrusions might also preserve useful paleohorizontal datums, although significant tilting may occur between establishment of the igneous layering (ca. 1000 °C) and the acquisition of magnetic remanence ( $\leq 580$  °C for Fe<sub>3-x</sub>Ti<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>).

For his sixth criterion, Van der Voo (1990) made the observation that paleomagnetic studies that carry a dual-polarity magnetization provide evidence that (a) secular variation is likely to be time-averaged and (b) is more commonly observed in rocks that are otherwise known



**Fig. 2.** (a) expected unblocking temperature spectra ( $T_{ub}$ ) along a baked profile showing completely baked host, hybrid host and unbaked host; (b) Stereonet showing an idealized positive baked contact test (C+); (c) Stereonet of vector components in an inconclusive baked contact test (Co). Although the baked host matches the dyke direction, results away from the bake zone do not exhibit stable hybrid or stable host directions. (d) Negative baked contact test where all directions are similar suggesting a more widespread remagnetization.

to carry a primary magnetization, although remagnetized rocks do sometimes carry a dual-polarity magnetization (see Johnson et al., 1984; Johnson and Van der Voo, 1989). The presence of polarity changes in sequential stratigraphic order provides the most powerful evidence for a primary magnetization. Van der Voo (1990, 1993) acknowledged that his use of reversals as a reliability criterion did not require a positive statistical test since the tests available at the time were known to be flawed (e.g. Cox and Doell, 1960; McElhinny, 1973) or had not yet been sufficiently tested (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990). In addition, the statistical tests merely demonstrate the presence or absence of an incompletely removed secondary component of single polarity, which might bias the characteristic remanence component such that its means significantly differ from the 180° ideal case; in some situations, magnetostratigraphy may retain its utility and robustness even if the statistical test fails (e.g. Evans et al., 2000).

The final, seventh Van der Voo (1990) criterion suggests the rejection of any paleomagnetic pole that resembles a younger pole (> period) from the same craton or block. The logic is simple in that resemblance to a younger paleopole from the same tectonic block raises suspicion of a remagnetization. Van der Voo (1990) understood the significance of research showing that remagnetization was common and not necessarily restricted to the tectonically active margins of cratonic regions (McCabe and Elmore, 1989). Van der Voo (1990) argued that field tests are required to ameliorate any concerns about remagnetization when an older pole resembled a much younger pole from the same block.

#### 3. The "R" reliability index: a modest proposal

We propose a modest revision to the Van der Voo (1990) "Quality"

4

Index. Colloquially known as the "Q" factor, the paper significantly impacted the paleomagnetic community. Paleomagnetic studies and proposals were framed in such a way as to meet as many of the Qcriteria as necessary. Publications touted results as "our data earn a Qvalue of X". This represented a major step forward in our science, but also created a number of debates amongst the scientists responsible for evaluating the paleomagnetic database as well as interpreting the original intent of Van der Voo (1990). Our goal is to review/revise these criteria in light of modern methods, equipment and understanding of the science. We choose the letter "R" for this scheme as it sequentially follows "Q" and also because "Reliability" is an accurate descriptor.

### 3.1. Reliability Criterion #1-Age of the Rocks constrained to within +/-15 Ma and magnetization is presumed to be the same age as the rocks

We propose stricter age constraints for meeting the requirements of R1. Geochronological studies have advanced in the past 28 years, particularly with respect to dating of mafic igneous bodies using baddeleyite and zircon (e.g., Kamo et al., 1989; Chamberlain et al., 2010; Schaltegger and Davies, 2017). Geochronologists are also more skilled at recognizing interbedded ash flows in older sedimentary rocks (see, for example, Compston et al., 1992; Grotzinger et al., 1995; Rasmussen et al., 2002). New techniques for direct dating of sedimentary rocks are still in their nascent stages but show promise of providing robust age control (McNaughton et al., 1999; Rasmussen et al., 2004; Selby and Creaser, 2005; Zhang et al., 2015; Aleinikoff et al., 2015).

The original Q-scheme required that the age of a Phanerozoic paleomagnetic pole should be constrained to within half a period (or  $\pm$  4% *whichever is larger*) and that Precambrian poles should be dated to within either 4% or  $\pm$  40 Ma (*whichever is smaller*). The Phanerozoic



Fig. 3. (a) positive intraformational conglomerate test (from Meert et al., 2009). Bounding layers show a stable magnetization above and below the conglomeratic layer. Clasts from the intervening layer exhibit the same demagnetization behavior as their parent materials with statistically random directions (b) negative intraformational conglomerate test where layers above and below the conglomerate show identical directions and clasts from the conglomerate are clustered in the same location.

age limits were based on apparent polar wander rates for Wrangellia and North America in the Phanerozoic. The calculated average APW rate of ~0.32°/Ma degrees (3.5 cm/yr) resulted in overlapping mean poles in 25-Ma windows. Van der Voo (1993) concluded that higher precision ages would not result in better APWP resolution. The Precambrian threshold was similarly determined on the basis of observed angular uncertainties of ± 16° per 80 Ma (Van der Voo and Meert, 1991). This seemingly simple scheme nevertheless produces some rather odd results. The Cretaceous Period spans about 80 Ma. Therefore, a Cretaceous-age pole with an error of  $\pm$  40 Ma would be acceptable (and equivalent to the acceptable maximum error of a Precambrian-age pole). In contrast, a pole from the Silurian (which spans  $\sim$  25 Ma) would demand an error of less than  $\pm$  13 Ma in order to meet Q1. Because of the irregular spacing for the Phanerozoic time scale, this criterion is unequally applied compared to the simpler Precambrian age limits set forth in Van der Voo (1990, 1993).

Given the many advances in geochronological methods/techniques, we propose a more rigid (and simpler) age constraint on paleomagnetic poles. Our proposal is that the age of the rock (and presumed age of the magnetization) should be known to within  $\pm$  15 Ma. Although the blanket  $\pm$  15 Ma limit on Phanerozoic rocks allows for a larger percentage error on the younger studies, it is more stringent than the original Q-criterion and allows for reasonable definitions of APWP's.

In cases of well-defined remagnetizations, the age criterion should apply to the age of remagnetization rather than that of the rock. For example, a demonstrably synfolding magnetization might be sufficiently well dated if there are independent age constraints on that deformation within the limits of precision set above.

Considerable discussion with regard to the age constraints took

place amongst the authors with some advocating for more stringent limits. It is important to remember that both the Q and R criteria are not disqualifying. For example, someone investigating rapid true polar wander may want tighter age constraints on relevant paleomagnetic poles and may freely apply their own filter during that analysis.

#### 3.2. Reliability Criterion #2- Techniques and Statistical Analyses

The original Van der Voo (1990) criterion #Q2 establishes requirements for measurement precision using Fisher (1953) statistics, whereas criterion #Q3 focused on measurement accuracy. Because imprecise and inaccurate measurements can result from user error or from inadequate sampling of paleosecular variation, we advocate for the following to satisfy R2:

- a) Attempt at least two methods of stepwise demagnetization (e.g. alternating field and thermal, Meert et al., 1995; or thermal/chemical, Billardello and Kodama, 2010) on at least pilot suite of samples to demonstrate that individual vector components are being separated effectively (Fig. 4).
- b) Analyze the directional data using Zijderveld diagrams and principal component analysis (Zijderveld, 1967; Kirschvink, 1980) or great circle intersections to separate overlapping unblocking temperature/coercivity components (Halls, 1976, 1978; McFadden and McElhinny, 1988).
- c) Achieve a VGP scatter that adequately averages paleosecular variation. Methods by which secular variation is assessed may include using a field-based model (McFadden et al., 1991) or a statistical based model (Deenan et al., 2011; Deenen et al., 2014), and guided



**Fig. 4.** (a) Stereoplot of alternating field (AF-top) versus thermal demagnetization (bottom) directional changes from a Paleoproterozoic dyke in India. (b) Plot of thermal (to 560C) followed by AF-demagnetization of a Paleoproterozoic dyke in India. In both (a) and (b) thermal demagnetization is ineffective in isolating the characteristic NW-shallow up magnetization (Pivarunas et al., in prep). (c) Alternating field demagnetization of Mbozi Complex and (d) Thermal demagnetization of Mbozi Complex. In this case, AF-demagnetization was unable to resolve the characteristic direction (Meert et al., 1995).

by these approaches we advocate a simple set of statistical tests of the mean result.

We presuppose that some may view this tripartite list of requirements as being too bulky for one criterion. Whereas sub-criteria (a-b) are now intuitive and routinely performed, the quality of the end result depends on satisfying subcriterion (c). Though not required to satisfy R2, we advocate that authors report several examples of non-ideal behavior when identified.

The original sample size, precision parameter and  $\alpha_{95}$  requirements for Q2 criteria were somewhat arbitrary. For example, the original  $\alpha_{95}$ threshold was chosen empirically as an overlap in error within time constraints set by Q1. Fisher (1953) statistics demonstrate that the number of samples (sites), precision parameter (k) and cone of confidence  $(\alpha_{95})$  are co-dependent. Using the original Q2-criteria of N = 25 and k = 10 yields an  $\alpha_{95}$  of  $\sim 9^{\circ}$  rather than the 16° advocated by Van der Voo (1990). Modern paleomagnetic studies routinely exceed the N = 25 sample limit proposed by Van der Voo (1990) whereas older studies may not. Therefore, the statistical limits required to satisfy R2 use a different approach (Deenan et al., 2011). By definition, a paleomagnetic pole represents the time-averaged position of the geomagnetic pole that is presumed to be symmetric about the center of the Earth and coaligned with the Earth's spin axis (the Geocentric Axial Dipole, or GAD; Meert, 2009). Debates about the nature of this assumption are beyond the scope of this paper; however, we feel that a quantitative assessment of secular variation should be addressed in any paleomagnetic study (McFadden et al., 1988; Deenan et al., 2011; Tauxe and Kodama, 2009; Lund, 2018).

In general, averaging of secular variation is thought to occur over an interval of  $\sim$ 3000–10,000 years. Sedimentary units should adequately average secular variation over a few meters of sampling (Kodama, 2012), and therefore more easily satisfy this R criterion. On the other

hand, quickly cooled igneous rocks provide only a spot reading of the field (McFadden et al., 1988). Secular variation of intrusive rocks is difficult to evaluate as the size of the intrusive body, chemistry of the remanence carriers and temperature of the surrounding country rock affect the timing of remanence acquisition. We prefer the application of a statistical method for evaluating secular variation as part of the R-criteria.

A popular method for evaluating PSV in paleomagnetic studies follows the analysis by McFadden et al. (1988, 1991) wherein the "Model-G" field (Fig. 5; McFadden et al., 1991; McElhinny and McFadden, 1997) is compared with the observed paleosecular variation ( $S_T$ ) using the formula of Cox (1970):

(2) 
$$S_T = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta i^2}$$
; (i = 1,....n)

where n = number of sites (> 5) and  $\Delta i$  = angle between the *i*<sup>th</sup> VGP and the mean VGP. *S*<sub>T</sub> represents the sum of geomagnetic secular variation effects *S*<sub>B</sub> and random errors due to sampling *S*<sub>W</sub>. Nevertheless, in most cases *S*<sub>T</sub> provides a close approximation to *S*<sub>B</sub>.

The quantitative assessment of secular variation noted above applies to studies where individual sites/samples likely represent a spot reading of the Earth's magnetic field (basalt flows, dykes, sills and small intrusions etc). The  $S_T$  parameter estimation is still commonly used to evaluate averaging of secular variation in spite of the fact that there are known mathematical issues with the model (Tauxe and Kodama, 2009; Deenan et al., 2011; Linder and Gilder, 2012).

Deenan et al., 2011, Deenen et al., 2014) described in detail the problems in assigning specific k, N and  $\alpha_{95}$  values for the Q2 criterion due to their dependence on one another. For example, 25 *samples* from 3 basalt flows or dykes (cooling units) would meet all the Q2 criterion quite easily but may be unlikely to provide averaging of secular



**Fig. 5.** Model G field with expected VGP scatter based on paleolatitude using eq. 1 (McElhinny and McFadden, 1997). For example, a mean VGP scatter of 20 degrees would be expected at a latitude of 60 degrees. The grey shaded area represents the confidence intervals on Model G.

variation (see also Deenan et al., 2011). They make the argument that an N-dependent A95 (*averaging of virtual geomagnetic poles*) should be applied to a dataset to satisfy the Q2 criterion. According to their assessment, a paleomagnetic study where the A95 value lies between the following confidence bounds should provide adequate averaging of secular variation:

#### $12 \times N^{-0.40} \le \text{A95obs} \le 82 \times N^{-0.63}$

These bounds were established by Deenen et al. (ibid.) to conform to various models of a time-varying GAD field, but they also serve to demand a minimum expectation of precision for a valuable paleomagnetic pole. However, the maximum allowable bound on imprecision (A95) may be too lax. For example, using typical values for N amongst published high-quality paleomagnetic poles (10–20 sites), the formula yields acceptable limits on Fisher's K of 7.3–7.9. Such datasets might be marginally acceptable according to some time-varying GAD models, but they are at odds with our experience of reliable poles, which lean more toward what Van der Voo (1990) suggested as having a minimum empirical bound of data clustering (K  $\geq$  10). In the other direction, the hallmark signature of a dataset that does not adequately average secular variation is *too much* precision on the pole, which would correspond to an anomalously low value of A95 and high value of K. When the number of sites is as large as some of the most intensively sampled

Table 2			
Sample data	table fo	r paleomagnet	ic results.*

units (N ~ 40–50 sites), the Deenan et al. (2011) lower bound on A95 corresponds to values of K ~ 65–70. Although smaller sample sets could have ranges of K > 70 that conform to statistical GAD models, we suggest that any value of K > 70 warrants some suspicion of in-adequate averaging of secular variation.

In addition to the requisite bounds on K between 10 and 70, we also suggest a minimum number of independent spot readings of the ancient magnetic field. Van der Voo (1990) cited personal experience in assigning  $n \ge 25$  samples for a pole's reliability, and we broadly accept that order of value. Multiple samples should be collected from each site in order to average within-site or between sample errors (McElhinny and McFadden, 2000). Opdyke and Channell (1996) suggest that three or more samples are required for unambiguous determination of polarity at each site. Therefore, we suggest that the 'test' for PSV should be applied to a study with  $N \ge 25$  (samples),  $10 \le K \le 70$  and  $B \ge 8$  sites (a site represents a spot reading of the magnetic field; *minimum of 3 samples per site*). A sample is an independently oriented core or block that may consist of one or more specimens.

In summary, meeting the R2 criterion requires both adequate demagnetization and sampling to achieve the goal of averaging secular variation. As a final note, the authors of this proposal, as both users and developers of paleomagnetic databases, appeal to the community atlarge to consider including, at a minimum, a specific set of information in each publication that includes new results, listed by example in Table 2. Inclusion of this information in each publication facilitates entry into global databases and evaluation of R-criteria. Authors may feel free to add more entries into their data tables, but the data shown in example Table 2 are essential for database compilations and other calculations.

#### 3.3. Reliability Criterion #3- Characterization of Magnetic mineralogy/ rock magnetism

Modern studies should include an investigation into the magnetic carriers via rock magnetic tests and/or petrographic examination. Characterization of the magnetic carriers aids in determining the primary/secondary nature of a particular remanence direction (Halls and Zhang, 1995; Halls et al., 2001; Jackson and Swanson-Hysell, 2013; Auborg et al., 2012; Zechmeister et al., 2012; Kodama and Dekkers, 2004).

A general description of magnetic carriers includes an evaluation of any of the following: unblocking/coercivity spectra, isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) tests, temperature-susceptibility analyses, 3-axis IRM (Lowrie, 1990), low-temperature treatment of IRM (Nagata et al., 1964; Özdemir et al., 1993; Dekkers et al., 1989). Hysteresis properties are useful in evaluating the domain size of remanence carriers. Hysteresis studies may include Day plots (Day et al., 1977; Roberts et al., 2018) and first order reversal curves (FORC diagrams; Pike et al., 1999; Roberts et al., 2000). In addition, magnetic fabric studies have proven useful in evaluating remanence carriers and/or

Site	Slat	Slong	N/n	Dec	Inc	<b>a</b> 95	k	VGP Lat	VGP Long
1915 1916 1917* 1918 Mean Result	25.1232° N 25.1342° N 24.9765° N 24.9965° N Specify mean of VGP's or mean D L and reference locality	87.3456° E 86.9984° E 87.0034° E 87.1254° E	7/7 8/6 7/3 9/8 Specify whether mean is based on unit samples (n) or unit sites (B). Specify	45° 53° 123° 48° 49°	+ 55° + 47° + 14° + 52° + 51.4°	8° 7° 27° 5° 7.3°	56 112 11 78 288	47.7° N 42.9° N 26.0° S 47.6° N 46.1° N A95 = 6.6°	151.3° E 160.9° E 154.8° E 155.3° E 156.0° E K = 348
	b,i and reference ideality		any data NOT used in calculating mean.					1190 0.0	R 010

Slat = Site latitude; Slong = Site Longitude; N = samples; n = samples used; Dec = Mean Declination; Inc. = Mean Inclination;  $\alpha_{95}$  = cone of 95% confidence about the mean result; k = Fisher precision parameter; VGP Lat = virtual geomagnetic pole latitude; VGP long = virtual geomagnetic pole longitude; A95 = cone of confidence about the mean paleomagnetic pole; K = Fisher's precision parameter in pole space.

\* Site not used to calculate mean result (Please make sure rejected sites are properly annotated).

deformation. These include anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS; Graham, 1954, 1957), anisotropy of isothermal remanence (AIR; McCabe et al., 1985) and anisotropy of anhysteretic remanence (AAR).

Microscopic investigations of magnetic carriers using polished thin sections under reflected light; scanning electron or transmission electron microscopes help identify possible magnetic carriers and potential alteration of the the original petrology (Poldervaart and Gilkey, 1954; Pichamuthu, 1959; Halls and Zhang, 1995; Halls et al., 2007; Sun and Jackson, 1994). In the case of fine-grained sediments, mineral separation techniques may be applied to identify the size and composition (Opdyke and Channell, 1996).

The identification of magnetic carriers is particularly important in sedimentary redbeds where inclination shallowing occurs during deposition (detrital remanent magnetization; e.g. DRM) can adversely affect tectonic interpretations (King, 1955; Gilder et al., 2001; Tan and Kodama, 2003; Tauxe and Kent, 2004; Li and Kodama, 2016). Chemical remanent magnetization (CRM) can post-date, and overprint, DRM by a significant time interval, complicating paleomagnetic interpretations (Kodama and Sun, 1985; Kodama and Dekkers, 2004; Jiang et al., 2015).

R3 criterion will be met if there is a reasonable attempt to identify and comment on the significance of the magnetic carriers in the study, either through petrographic, micro-imaging techniques or rock-magnetic investigation.

### 3.4. Reliability Criterion #4- Field Tests that constrain the age of magnetization

#### a. Baked Contact/Inverse Baked Contact Test

A study can receive the R4 criterion provided that the baked contact test exhibits most of the features outlined in Fig. 2a ( $R4_{C+}$ ). The baked contact test often departs from the ideal models described above. A positive baked contact ( $R4_{C+}$ ) test is also confirmed when the dyke and baked zone exhibit stable and similar paleomagnetic directions and the unbaked region yields a stable and different direction even if there is no hybrid zone. It is not uncommon for the unbaked host rock to exhibit unstable behavior regardless of whether or not regional remagnetization has occurred. In the case where the intrusive body and baked host show the same direction and the country rock exhibits unstable behavior, the baked contact test should be noted as R4<sub>Co</sub>. Salminen et al. (2009) note a special case where heating associated with meteorite impact may provide evidence of a primary magnetization in the melt zone and adjacent regions. Inverse baked contact tests, as long as they satisfy the characteristics noted above, may also provide useful age constraints on magnetization directions in the host rocks and would also qualify for R4.

#### b. Fold/Tilt/Slump Tests

The strongest fold tests are those that (a) pass rigorous statistical analyses and (b) have an age of folding that is 'close' to the age of the rocks in question. The fold test should be applied in a stepwise manner and we require that the magnetization direction have optimal grouping within error of 90–110% unfolding in order to meet R4 standards. Although the fold test provides more clarity on the age of magnetization when the age of folding is close to the age of the rocks (Van der Voo, 1969), the R4 positive fold test would be satisfied regardless of the age of folding. Regarding the question of whether a pole is reliable for a given purpose, we prefer to leave this decision in the hands of each individual in the context of their particular analysis. In similar fashion, we recognize several statistical variations of the fold test, catered to a variety of fold geometries and sampling strategies; any statistically robust test can be used to satisfy R4.

The intention of R4 is to identify evidence in favor of the possibility of a primary magnetization in the rock. Thus, *syn*-folding

magnetizations do not meet the R4 criterion unless they are demonstrably syn-sedimentary slump folds or in growth strata (Smith et al., 1983; Schmidt et al., 1991; Carrigan et al., 2016). We do not devalue the significance of a syn-folding (re)magnetization, but since the result neither 'passes' nor 'fails' the fold test, we prefer a simplistic approach in the grading scheme. Individual researchers may pass judgement on the validity of a syn-folding magnetization as needed

#### c. Conglomerate Test

A paleomagnetic pole will receive the R4 criterion for the conglomerate test if it (a) fulfills the statistical requirements set forth in Watson (1956b), Shipunov et al. (1998) or Heslop and Roberts (2018a) and (b) N is sufficiently large to test the null hypothesis  $H_0$  of Watson (1956b) which assumes a uniform ("random") distribution of vectors or the Bayesian assumptions set forth in Heslop and Roberts (2018a). Heslop and Roberts (2018a) tested sample sizes ranging from n = 5 to n = 35. While not specifically assigning an optimal N-value, they note that a strong level of support for the conglomerate test is difficult for sample sizes where n < 19. We attempt to balance statistical vagaries with practicality in field sampling and argue that  $n \ge 10$  in order to be a useful conglomerate test. Providing that the statistical analysis indicates a positive conglomerate test, the age of the conglomerate should, in principle, be reasonably close to the age of the rocks being studied; however, as with the fold test described above, we do not place a specific restriction on the age of a conglomerate (other than devaluing any misuse of the reliability scale by applying the test to trivially young conglomerates or breccias). The ideal case requires that the conglomerate clasts are taken from an intraformational conglomerate wherein the clasts are derived from the underlying units and exhibit the same magnetic characteristics as the parent materials (see for example Buchan and Hodych, 1989; Levashova et al., 2009; Meert et al., 1994; Meert et al., 2009).

#### d. Unconformity Test

The unconformity test (Kirschvink, 1978) was proposed for the special case in which a stratigraphically ordered polarity sequence is truncated by an unconformity. Fig. 6a illustrates a positive unconformity test wherein the polarity sequence below the unconformity is discontinuous across the unconformable surface. In this case, the magnetization in the lower sequence is older than the unconformity. Fig. 6b illustrates a negative unconformity test because the polarity zonation is continuous across the unconformity.

#### 3.5. Reliability Criterion #5- Structural control

We accept the original rationale employed by Van der Voo (1990) and argue that paleomagnetic poles derived from allochthonous or parautochthonous terranes, non-stratified rocks and regions that have undergone internal vertical axis rotations will not meet the R5 criterion. Results from intrusive rocks younger than the last deformational event may meet this criterion. We also note that we apply the R5 criteria in a stricter fashion than Van der Voo (1993). As an example, in the Van der Voo (1993) compilation of paleomagnetic poles from Laurentia, results from the Colorado Plateau and northern limb of the Pennsylvania salient were 'corrected' for vertical-axis clockwise rotations of 5 and 23 degrees respectively. In that compilation each pole from those regions passed the Q5 criterion. The tacit assumption was that the amount of rotation for each region was well-known; however, the magnitude of the CP rotation and 'corrections' turn out to be far more complicated (see McCall and Kodama, 2014). Therefore, a pole will meet R5 if there is a presumption that the region was a rigid part of the craton since the time the magnetization was acquired.

The definition of autochthonous in the Precambrian is complicated by the fact that Phanerozoic 'continents' are themselves amalgams of



Fig. 6. (a) Positive unconformity test (after Kirschvink, 1978). Reversal pattern is truncated across the unconformity surface; (b) Negative unconformity test. Reversal pattern is continuous across the unconformity surface.



**Fig. 7.** Effect of inclination shallowing versus geocentric axial dipole inclination for a range of flattening factors (f = 0.3 orange; f = 0.6 grey; f = 0.8 yellow; GAD field blue). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

smaller nuclei with a complex assembly history (Killian et al., 2016; Hoffman, 1988; Meert and Pandit, 2015; Bogdanova et al., 2008; Gladkochub et al., 2006; Cawood and Korsch, 2008; Boger, 2011; De Waele et al., 2008; Tassinari et al., 2000). Most modern paleomagnetic studies recognize this obstacle and use poles that represent only the region to which they are rigidly attached (our stipulation). The situation is sometimes further complicated by "post-assembly" rotation or rifting. For example, Cawood and Korsch (2008) argue that three key elements of Australia (Northern Australia, Western Australia and the Mawson continent) were assembled during the Mesoproterozoic. Li and Evans (2011) provide a convincing argument for a large 40° degree intraplate rotation between a coupled western Australia/southern Australia and northern Australia during the late Neoproterozoic. In this example, Mesoproterozoic poles calculated from the blocks that have undergone rotation can receive the R5 criterion when applied to their respective regions. Authors assigning R5 to poles referred by their studies should specify their definition of each "craton" along with its present and paleogeographic bounds.

Clastic sedimentary rocks often carry a detrital remanent magnetization (DRM) that can experience inclination flattening during deposition and compaction (King, 1955; Fig. 7). The relationship between flattening factor (*f*) and inclination is given as:

$$ftan(I_f) = tan(I_0)$$

Where:

 $f = flattening factor (0 \le f \le 1)$ 

- $I_f$  = expected GAD inclination for latitude of deposition
- $I_0$  = observed inclination

There are two main strategies employed for detecting and correcting inclination shallowed directions (Jackson et al., 1991; Kodama and Sun, 1992; Kodama and Dekkers, 2004; Kodama, 1997; Li and Kodama, 2016; Tauxe and Kent, 2004; Tauxe et al., 2008). The first technique is



Fig. 8. From Bazhenov et al. (2016). Alarm band (light green) surrounding the Phanerozoic apparent polar wander path for Baltica along with Precambrian poles from Fennoscandia, Ukraine and the Urals. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

based on detailed measurements of anisotropy (Li and Kodama, 2016) that are labor intensive but may provide a more direct measure of inclination shallowing than the 'easier' statistical analysis (Tauxe and Kent, 2004). As noted by Li and Kodama (2016), both techniques have underlying assumptions and limitations that require a cautious approach in drawing paleogeographic conclusions from inclination-shallowed rocks. We therefore argue that any poles based on flattening corrections will not meet the R5 criterion unless the inclinations are corroborated by paleomagnetic data from either intercalated volcanic rocks that have a similar R-value or other sedimentary rocks within the same sequence that do not require flattening corrections.

### 3.6. Reliability Criterion #6- Presence of Reversals- Statistically valid reversal test (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990; Heslop and Roberts, 2018b)

The power of the reversal test in paleomagnetism is based on the assumption that a positive result indicates sufficient passage of time required to average secular variation. Furthermore, antipodal directions suggest that there were no systematic overprints on the primary magnetization Pares and Van der Voo, 2013).

Unfortunately, the reversal test has sometimes led to the false conclusion that the rocks record a primary magnetization. This is neither the intended purpose of a reversal test nor always an accurate assumption. Dual-polarity remagnetization is possible (see Johnson et al., 1984; Johnson and Van der Voo, 1989) and single polarity results can be demonstrably primary. Furthermore, data collected from 'spot' readings of the geomagnetic field (smaller dykes or flow units) may exhibit dual polarity magnetization without adequately averaging secular variation. A positive reversal test is therefore supportive, but not conclusive, of a PSV-averaged primary magnetization in the sampled sequence (see R2).

In the original Q-criteria compilation (Van der Voo, 1990) there was no robust statistical test required for meeting this criterion other than the presence of both polarities with overlapping  $\alpha_{95}$  confidence limits. In part, this was because the statistical test proposed by McFadden and McElhinny (1990; hereafter M&M) had not been sufficiently applied to the extant database. The reversal test of M&M (1990) grades significance by comparing the means of the normal and reverse directions assuming that they are drawn from the same population. The assumption of a common population depends on the number of observations of each polarity and the precision parameter kappa (k). If there is no common precision parameter, or isolated observations from one of the polarity groups, then the test is not necessarily invalid, but requires additional analysis (see M&M, 1990). We note that the sample size and common kappa assumption for the M&M (1990) test have a key effect on the test results. If the common population assumption is statistically valid (i.e.  $\gamma_o < \gamma_c$ ) then the reversal test is evaluated according to the critical angle ( $\gamma_c$ ). A positive reversal test is graded "R<sub>A</sub>" when  $\gamma_c < 5^\circ$ ; " $R_B$ " when  $\gamma_c < 10^\circ$ ; " $R_C$ " when  $\gamma_c < 20^\circ$  and "Indeterminate", or " $R_0$ ", when  $\gamma_c \ge 20^\circ$ . A negative reversal test occurs when  $\gamma_o > \gamma_c$ . If the test is based on isolated observations from one of the polarity groupings, we propose following the suggestion of M&M (1990) of assigning grades  $R_{AI},\,R_{BI}$  and  $R_{CI}$  for the reversals test.

A second reversal test was recently proposed by Heslop and Roberts (2018b; hereafter H&R) that is more nuanced in grading the reversals test. The H&R (2018b) test is particularly useful when the M&M (1990) result is indeterminate (R<sub>0</sub>). H&R (2018b) show that ~40% of "R<sub>0</sub>" reversal tests under the M&M (1990) scheme yield positive support for a common mean, ~59% are ambiguous and < 1% yield positive support for a different mean. To meet the R5 criterion, a positive reversal test must rise above the M&M (1990) "indeterminate" or the H&R (2018b) "ambiguous" label. As a cautionary note, we note that the SIAPD program (Torsvik et al., 1999) reversal test assumes the user has evaluated the common kappa and sample size requirements for the M&M (1990) test. These assumptions must be met in order to avoid incorrect calculation of reversal test results (Nagaraju et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2017).



Fig. 9. From Pivarunas et al. (2018) (a) example of self-intersecting APWP and (b) a 500 Myr long non-intersecting APWP. Both (a) and (b) use randomly generated velocities between 2 and 10 cm/yr with a plate re-organization interval of 70 Ma.

## 3.7. Reliability Criterion #7: No Resemblance to younger poles by more than a period unless there is field evidence for an older magnetization

One of the more contentious discussions in Precambrian paleomagnetism is whether or not Q7 "resemblance to a younger paleopole by more than a period" should be considered in the revised "R" reliability criteria. Veikkolainen et al. (2014), during their compilation of the global Precambrian database, argued that Q7 should be disregarded because it could lead to erroneous conclusions about remagnetization. They argued that the presence of several self-closing APWP loops in the Precambrian were merely coincidental and not indicative of remagnetization.

Using an argument nearly antithetical to that of Veikkolainen et al. (2014), Bazhenov et al. (2016) took a pessimistic approach toward the Precambrian database from Baltica. Bazhenov et al. (2016) calculated the statistical probabilities for a 95% confidence deviation from the true mean (see McFadden, 1980; p = .05, N = number of observations; R = length of the resultant mean vector):

$$\cos \psi_{(1-p)} = 1 - (N - R) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} - 1 \right]$$

#### Table 3

R

Brief description of the R-score.

Brief description

1	Well-determined rock age and a presumption that magnetization is the same age	Radiometric age constrained to within $\pm$ 15 Ma
2	Techniques and Statistical analysis	Stepwise demagnetization effectiveness confirmed by multiple demagnetization methods. Test for averaging of PSV. N $\ge 25$ , 10 $\le K \le 70$ , B $\ge 8$ sites (minimum 3 samples/site)
3	Evaluation of remanence carriers	Rock magnetic and/or microscopic examination and identification of magnetic carriers.
4	Field Tests that constrain age of magnetization	Fold/tilt test. Baked contact tests; conglomerate test or other field tests that constrain age of magnetization.
5	Structural control, and tectonic coherence with craton or block involved.	Data from thrust sheets or intrusive rocks must be younger than the last tectonic deformation in
	Inclination shallowing assessed in clastic sedimentary rocks	the area. Detrital sedimentary rocks that do not require inclination corrections will meet this criteria.
6	The presence of magnetic reversals	Statistically significant antipodal normal and reverse directions R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub> or R <sub>c</sub> rated (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990) or show support for a common mean (Heslop and Roberts, 2018b).
7	No resemblance to younger poles by more than a period based on overlapping A95	Field tests that constrain the magnetization to be older than the younger pole(s) it resembles.

The approximation for the 95% deviation angle is given by:

$$\psi_{95} = \frac{140}{\sqrt{k}}$$

 $\psi_{95}$  was then used to create a double-width 'alarm band' around the Phanerozoic APWP for Baltica (Fig. 8; using an average k of 100 from published studies). They observed that ~50% of Precambrian poles; (a) fell within the 'alarm band'; (b) were not randomly distributed and; (c) formed distinct clusters which they concluded should be viewed with a suspicion of remagnetization.

Pivarunas et al. (2018) approached the issue by generating hundreds of synthetic APWP's in an effort to evaluate the statistical probability of self-intersection (Fig. 9). Pivarunas et al. (2018) show that the likelihood of APWP self-intersection is  $69.1 \pm 9\%$  in 500 million years and 97.1  $\pm 2\%$  in 1000 million years with a 'plate-reorganization' every 70 Ma. In other words, resemblance to younger paleopoles noted by Bazhenov et al. (2016) and Veikkolainen et al. (2014) are the expected outcome of continental motion over geological time.

There are disagreements regarding inclusion of this criterion in our revision amongst the authors of this paper. Some side with the more conservative approach of Bazhenov et al. (2016) whilst others favor the abolition of this criterion. Arguments for abandoning this criterion were summarized by one of us (D.A.D. Evans) as follows:

- The definition of 'resemblance' is not clearly defined in the Van der Voo (1990) criterion.
- (2) What level of reliability is required of the younger pole?
- (3) How far do we draw our geographic boundaries when attempting to assess 'resemblance' for a particular region? This is particularly relevant when assessing Precambrian poles.

These are legitimate and confounding issues. In some cases, the solution is simple. Any paleomagnetic pole with field tests that constrain the age of magnetization to be older than the younger pole(s) it resembles will meet this criterion.

Dealing with the other concerns raised above is more problematic. Van der Voo (1990) stated that any pole that fell on a younger part of the APWP should be viewed with suspicion. The implication is that a cratonic block must have a well-defined path for comparison, but few cratons have well-defined Precambrian APWP's and in some cases the age of cratonic coherence is not well-established (Meert and Pandit, 2015). Furthermore, no specific guidance was offered by Van der Voo (1990, 1993) regarding points (1) and (2).

We offer the following instructions for evaluating R7.

(1) Comparison to younger poles: Heslop and Roberts (2019) discuss the difficulty in assessing what constitutes 'resemblance to a younger pole'. They argue that a binary "yes' or 'no' decision is difficult and propose a series of information metrics that can aid in this decision. In spite of known limitations outlined in that study, we propose that paleomagnetic poles with overlapping A95 envelopes with younger poles (of  $R \ge 3$ ) will not meet this criterion. Individual investigations may wish to apply the metrics described in Heslop and Roberts (2019), but we choose to apply the more conservative approach of overlapping A95 confidence intervals for our criterion. This approach may be justified at least qualitatively by the recognition that A95 values indicate statistical precision but do not always represent all possible sources of error in paleomagnetic data (e.g., Rowley, 2019).

Tectonophysics 790 (2020) 228549

(2) Geographic boundaries: The comparison to younger poles should only be made with poles from stable regions within the connected craton (s). Poles from orogenic belts should not be used for this comparison as they do not provide a unique pole position. This requires knowledge of the assembly history the continent/craton being evaluated and is best considered by the authors at the time of publication.

There is no perfect solution to the issue of remagnetization, but we feel that Van der Voo's (1993) cautionary statement "guilty (i.e. remagnetized) until proven innocent" is still valid.

#### 4. Conclusions & recommendations

The Van der Voo (1990) Q-criteria served the paleomagnetic community for nearly 30 years and resulted in more careful and detailed paleomagnetic studies. Modern paleomagnetic methods, automation, advanced statistical tools along with better precision in geochronological methods necessitated a re-evaluation of the reliability criteria and our proposal for the new "R" system. Similar to its predecessor, the Rfactor is based on seven criteria used to assess the reliability of a paleomagnetic pole. These seven criteria are presented in Tabular form (Table 3). We emphasize that the R-criteria merely form a checklist that provides a numerical reliability score. The R-score value does not imply rejection or endorsement of any individual paleomagnetic study. Decisions as to how to apply the R-score to a particular study is up to the individual researcher or research group.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the numerous Nordic Paleomagnetic workshop participants over the past 30 years who have

contributed their expertise leading to these new criteria. We also would like to thank members of the paleomagnetic community who shared their thoughts at a recent AGU meeting on our new proposal. Special thanks to Rob Van der Voo for his historical perspective on Q and his thoughts on the new R-Criteria. Two anonymous reviewers noted important omissions and corrections leading to an improved final manuscript. SAP was supported by the Ministry of Science and High Education of the Russian Federation (grant № 075-15-2019-1883).

#### References

Aleinikoff, J.N., Lund, K., Fanning, C.M., 2015. SHRIMP U-Pb and REE data pertaining to the origins of xenotime in the Belt Supergroup rocks: evidence for ages of deposition, hydrothermal alteration and metamorphism. Can. J. Earth Sci. 52, 722–745.

Auborg, C., Pozzi, J.-P., Kars, M., 2012. Burial, claystones, remagnetization and some consequences for magnetostratigraphy. Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 371, 181–188.

Bazhenov, M.L., Levashova, N.M., Meert, J.G., 2016. How well do Precambrian paleomagnetic data agree with the Phanerozoic APWP? A Baltica case study. Precambrian Res. 285, 80–90.

Billardello, D., Kodama, K., 2010. Paleomagnetism and magnetic anisotropy of Carboniferous red beds from the maritime provinces of Canada: evidence for shallow paleomagnetic inclinations and implications for north American apparent polar wander. Geophys. J. Int. 180, 1013–1029.

Bogdanova, S.V., Bingen, B.A., Gorbatschev, R., Kheraskova, T.N., Kozlov, V.I., Puchkov, V.N., Volozh, Y.A., 2008. The east European craton (Baltica) before and during the assembly of Rodinia. Precambrian Res. 160, 23–45.

Boger, S.D., 2011. Antarctica before and after Gondwana. Gondwana Res. 19, 335–371.

Briden, J., Duff, B., 1981. Pre-Carboniferous paleomagnetism of Europe north of the Alpine orogenic belt. In: McElhinny, M.W., Valencio, D.A. (Eds.), Paleoreconstruction

of the Continents. AGU Geodynamics Series 2. pp. 137–150. Bruckshaw, J.M., Vincenz, S.A., 1954. The permanent magnetism of the Mull lavas. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 6, 579–589.

Buchan, K.L., Hodych, J.P., 1989. Early Silurian paleopole for red beds and volcanics of the King George IV Lake area, Newfoundland. Can. J. Earth Sci. 26, 1904–1917.

Buchan, K.L., Mortensen, J.K., Card, K.D., 1993. Northeast trending early Proterozoic dykes of southern Superior Province: multiple episodes of emplacement recognized from integrated paleomagnetism and U-Pb geochronology. Can. J. Earth Sci. 30, 1286–1296.

Buchan, K.L., Mertanen, S., Park, R.G., Pesonen, L.J., Elming, S.-A., Abrahamsen, N., Bylund, G., 2000. Comparing the drift of Laurentia and Baltica in the Proterozoic: the importance of key palaeomagnetic poles. Tectonophysics 319, 167–198.

Carey, S.W., 1958. A tectonic approach to continental drift. In: Carey, S.W. (Ed.), Continental Drift Symposium. University of Hobart, Tasmania, pp. 177–355.

Carrigan, J.H., Anastasio, D.J., Kodama, K.P., 2016. Fault-related field kinematics recorded by terrestrial growth strata, Sant Llorenç de Morunys, Pyrenees Mtns., NE Spain. J. Struct. Geol. 91, 161–176.

Cawood, P.A., Korsch, R.J., 2008. Assembling Australia: Proterozoic building of a continent. Precambrian Res. 166, 1–38.

Chamberlain, K.R., Schmitt, A.K., Swapp, S.M., Harrison, T.M., Swoboda-Coldberg, N., Bleeker, W., Peterson, T.D., Jefferson, C.W., Khudoley, A.K., 2010. In-situ U-Pb SIMS (IN-SIMS) micro-baddeleyite dating of mafic rocks: Methods and examples. Precambrian Res. 183, 379–387.

Compston, W., Williams, I.S., Kirschvink, J.L., Zhang, Z., Ma, G., 1992. Zircon U-Pb ages for the early Cambrian time scale. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 127, 319–332.

Cox, A., 1970. Latitude dependence of the angular dispersion of the geomagnetic field. Geophys. J. Int. 20, 253–269.

Cox, A., Doell, R.R., 1960. Review of paleomagnetism. Bull. Geol. Soc. Am. 71, 645–768. Dalziel, I.W.D., 1991. Pacific margins of Laurentia and East Antarctica —Australia as a

conjugate rift pair: evidence and implications for an Eocambrian supercontinent. Geology 19, 598–601.

Day, R., Fuller, M., Schmidt, V.A., 1977. Hysteresis properties of titanomagnetites: grainsize and compositional dependence. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 13, 260–267.

De Waele, B., Johnson, S.P., Pisarevsky, S.A., 2008. Paleoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic growth and evolution of the eastern Congo craton: its role in the Rodinia puzzle. Precambrian Res. 160, 127–141.

Deenan, M.H.L., Langereis, C., van Hinsbergen, D.J.J., Biggin, A.J., 2011. Geomagnetic secular variation and the statistics of paleomagnetic directions. Geophys. J. Int. 186, 509–520.

Deenen, M.H.L., Langereis, C., van Hinsbergen, D.J.J., Biggin, A.J., 2014. Erratum:Geomagnetic secular variation and the statistics of paleomagnetic directions. Geophys. J. Int. 197, 643.

Dekkers, M.J., Mattei, J.L., Fillion, G., Rochette, P., 1989. Grain size dependence of the magnetic behavior of pyrrhotite during its low-temperature transition at 34 K. Geophys. Res. Lett. 16, 855–858.

Diggle, P.J., Fisher, N.I., Lee, A.J., 1985. A comparison of tests of uniformity for spherical data. Aust. J. Stat. 27, 53–59.

Elming, S.A., Pesonen, L.J., 2009. Recent developments in paleomagnetism and geomagnetism: sixth Nordic paleomagnetic workshop; Lulea, Sweden, 15–22 September 2009. EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 90 (51), 502.

Enkin, R.J., 2003. The direction-correction tilt test: an all-purpose tilt/fold test for paleomagnetic studies. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 212, 151–166.

Evans, D.A.D., Li, Z.X., Kirschvink, J.L., Wingate, M.T.D., 2000. A high-quality

Tectonophysics 790 (2020) 228549

paleomagnetic pole from South China, with implications for ice ages and the breakup configuration of Rodinia. Precam. Res. 100, 313–334.

Everitt, C.W.F., Clegg, J.A., 1962. A field test of paleomagnetic stability. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc. 6, 312–319.

Fisher, R.A., 1953. Dispersion on a sphere. Proceed. Royal Soc. A217, 295-305.

Gilder, S., Chen, Y., Sen, S., 2001. Oligo-Miocene magnetostratigraphy and rock magnetism of the Xishuigou section, Subei (Gansu Province, western China) and implications for shallow inclinations in Central Asia. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 30505–30521.

Gine, M.E., 1975. Invariant tests for uniformity on compact Riemannian manifolds based on Sobolev norms. Ann. Stat. 3, 1243–1266.

Gladkochub, D., Pisarevsky, S., Donskaya, T., Natapov, L., Mazukabov, A., Stanevich, A., Sklyarov, E., 2006. The Siberian craton and its evolution in terms of the Rodinia hypothesis. Episodes 29, 169–174.

Graham, J.W., 1949. The stability and significance of magnetism in sedimentary rocks. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 54, 131–167.

Graham, J.W., 1954. Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy, an unexploited petrofabric element. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 65, 1257–1258.

Graham, J.W., 1957. The role of magnetostiction in rock magnetism. Adv. Phys. 6, 362–363.

Grotzinger, J.P., Bowring, S.A., Saylor, B.Z., Kaufman, A.J., 1995. Biostratigraphic and geochronologic constraints on early animal evolution. Science 270, 598–604.

Halls, H.C., 1976. A least-squares method to find a remanence direction from converging remagnetization circles. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc. 45, 297–304.

Halls, H.C., 1978. The use of converging remagnetization circles in paleomagnetism. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 16, 1–11.

Halls, H.C., Zhang, B., 1995. Tectonic Implications of Clouded Feldspar in Proterozoic Mafic Dykes, *Geological Society of India Memoir* #33. pp. 65–80.

Halls, H.C., Campal, N., Davis, D.W., Bossi, J., 2001. Magnetic studies and U-Pb geochronology of the Uruguayan dyke swarm, Rio de la Plata craton, Uruguay: paleomagnetic and economic implications. J. S. Am. Earth Sci. 14, 349–361.

Halls, H.C., Kumar, A., Srinivasan, R., Hamilton, M.A., 2007. Paleomagnetism and U-Pb geochronology of easterly trending dykes in the Dharwar craton, India: Feldspar clouding, radiating dyke swarms and the position of India at 2.37 Ga. Precambrian Res. 151, 47–68.

Heslop, D., Roberts, A.P., 2018a. A Bayesian approach to the paleomagnetic conglomerate test. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 123, 1132–1142.

Heslop, D., Roberts, A.P., 2018b. Revisiting the paleomagnetic reversal test: a Bayesian hypothesis testing framework for a common mean direction. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 123, 7225–7236.

Heslop, D., Roberts, A.P., 2019. Quantifying the similarity of paleomagnetic poles. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 124, 12,388–12,403.

Hoffman, P.F., 1988. United Plates of America, the birth of a craton: early Proterozoic assembly and growth of Laurentia. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 16, 543–603.
 Hoffman, P.F., 1991. Did the breakout of Laurentia turn Gondwanaland inside-out?

Science 252, 1409–1412. Hyodo, H., Dunlop, D.J., 1993. Effect of anisotropy on the paleomagnetic contact test for

Hyodo, H., Dunlop, D.J., 1993. Effect of anisotropy on the paleomagnetic contact test for a Grenville dike. J. Geophys. Res. 98, 7997–8017.

Irving, E., 1960. Paleomagnetic directions and pole positions, part I: pole number I/I to I/ I44. Geophys. J. Int. 3, 96–111.

Irving, E., 1964. Palaeomagnetism and its Application to Geological and Geophysical Problems. John Wiley, New York (399 pages).

Irving, E., Tanczyk, J., Hastie, J., 1976. Catalogue of Paleomagnetic directions and poles 3<sup>rd</sup> issue, Paleozoic results 1949-1975. In: *Geomagnetism Series 5*, Ottowa Geomagnetic Services, Canada, (99 pp).

Jackson, M., Swanson-Hysell, N.L., 2013. Rock Magnetism of Remagnetized Carbonate Rocks: Another Look. #371. Geological Society of London Special Publication, pp. 229–251.

Jackson, M.J., Banerjee, S.K., Marvin, J.A., Lu, R., Gruber, W., 1991. Detrital remanence inclination errors and anhysteretic remanence anisotropy: quantitative model and experimental results. Geophys. J. Int. 104, 95–103.

Jiang, Z., Liu, Q., Dekkers, M.J., Tauxe, L., Qin, H., Barron, V., Torrent, J., 2015. Acquisition of remanent magnetization during experimental ferrihydrite-hematite conversion in Earth-like magnetic field—implications for the study of redbeds. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 428, 1–10.

- Johnson, R.J.E., Van der Voo, R., 1989. Dual-polarity Carboniferous remagnetization of the Fissett Brook Formation, Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia. Geophys. J. 97, 259–273.
- Johnson, R.J.E., Van der Voo, R., Lowrie, W., 1984. Paleomagnetism and late diagenesis of Jurassic carbonates from the Jura Mountains, Switzerland and France. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 95, 478–488.
- Kamo, S., Gower, C.F., Krogh, T.E., 1989. A birthdate for the Iapetus Ocean? A precise U-Pb zircon and baddeleyite age for the Long Range Dykes, SE Labrador. Geology 17, 602–605.

Khramov, A.N., 1971. Paleomagnetic Directions and Paleomagnetic Poles, Issue No. 1. Moscow: Soviet Geophysical Committee of the Academy of Sciences U.S.S.R., World Data Center B.

Killian, T.M., Chamberlain, K.R., Evans, D.A.D., Bleeker, W., Cousens, B.L., 2016. Wyoming on the run-toward the final Paleoproterozoic assembly of Laurentia. Geology 44, 863–866.

King, R.F., 1955. The remanent magnetism of artificially deposited sediments. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. Supplement (7), 115–134.

Kirschvink, J.L., 1978. The Precambrian-Cambrian boundary problem: paleomagnetic directions from the Amadeus Basin, central Australia. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 40, 91–100.

Kirschvink, J.L., 1980. The least squares line and plane and the analysis of paleomagnetic

data. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc. 62, 699-718.

- Kodama, K.P., 1997. A successful rock magnetic technique for correcting paleomagnetic inclination shallowing: case study of the Nacimiento Formation, New Mexico. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 102, 5193–5206.
- Kodama, K.P., 2012. Paleomagnetism of Sedimentary Rocks: Process and Interpretation. Wiley-Blackwell Publications (157 pp).
- Kodama, K.P., Dekkers, M.J., 2004. Magnetic anisotropy as an aid to identifying CRM and DRM in red sedimentary rocks. Stud. Geophys. Geod. 48, 747–766.
- Kodama, K.P., Sun, W.W., 1985. Magnetic anisotropy as a correction for compactioncaused paleomagnetic inclination shallowing. Geophys. J. Int. 111, 465–469.
- Kodama, K.P., Sun, W.W., 1992. Magnetic anisotropy as a correction for compactioncaused paleomagnetic inclination shallowing. Geophys. J. Int. 111, 465–469.
- Kumar, A., Parashuramulu, V., Shankar, R., Besse, J., 2017. Evidence for a Neoarchean LIP in the Singhbhum craton, eastern India: Implications to Vaalbara supercontinent. Precam. Res. 292, 163–174.
- Levashova, N.M., van der Voo, R., Abrajevitch, A.V., Bazhenov, M.L., 2009. Paleomagnetism of mid-Paleozoic subduction-related volcanics from the Chingiz Range in NE Kazakhstan: the evolving paleogeography of the amalgamating Eurasian composite continent. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 121, 555–573.
- Li, Z.X., Evans, D.A.D., 2011. Late Neoproterozoic 40° intraplate rotation within Australia allows for a tighter-fitting and longer lasting Rodinia. Geology 39, 39–42.
- Linder, J., Gilder, S.A., 2012. Latitude dependency of the geomagnetic secular variation S parameter: a mathematical artifact. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, L02308. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2011GL050330.
- Li, Y.-X., Kodama, K.P., 2016. Detecting and correcting for paleomagnetic inclination shallowing of sedimentary rocks: a review. Front. Earth Sci. 4. https://doi.org/10. 3389/feart.2016.00007.
- Li, Z.X., Powell, C.McA., Schmidt, P.W., Thrupp, G.A., 1990. Australian Palaeozoic paleomagnetism and tectonics-II: a revised apparent polar wander path and palaeogeography. J. Struct. Geol. 12, 567–575.
- Lock, J., McElhinny, M.W., 1991. The global paleomagnetic database: design, installation and use with ORACLE. Surv. Geophys. 12, 317–491.
- Lowrie, W., 1990. Identification of ferromagnetic minerals in a rock by coercivity and unblocking temperature properties. Geophys. Res. Lett. 17, 159–162.
- Lund, S., 2018. A new view of long-term geomagnetic secular variation. Front. Earth Sci. 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00040.
- MacNiocaill, C., 2000. A new Silurian paleolatitude for eastern Avalonia and evidence for crustal rotations in the Avalonian margin of southwestern Ireland. Geophys. J. Int. 141, 661–671.
- May, S.R., Butler, R.F., 1986. North American Jurassic apparent polar wander: implications for plate motion, paleogeography and Cordilleran tectonics. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 91, 11519–11544.
- McCabe, C., Elmore, R.D., 1989. The occurrence and origin of late Paleozoic remagnetization in the sedimentary rocks of North America. Rev. Geophys. 27, 471–494.
- McCabe, C., Jackson, M.C., Ellwood, B.B., 1985. Magnetic anisotropy in the Trenton limestone: results of a new technique, anisotropy of anhysteretic susceptibility and remanence in minerals. Geophys. Res. Lett. 15, 333–336.
- McCall, A.M., Kodama, K., 2014. Anisotropy based inclination correction for the Moenave Formation and Wingate sandstone: Implications for Colorado Plateau rotation. Front. Earth Sci. 2, 1–10.
- McElhinny, M.W., 1964. Statistical significance of the fold test in paleomagnetism. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc. 8, 338–340.
- McElhinny, M.W., 1968. Paleomagnetic directions and pole positions-VIII: Pole numbers 8/1 to 8/186. Geophys. J. Int. 15, 409–430.
- McElhinny, M.W., 1973. Paleomagnetism and Plate Tectonics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 85.
- McElhinny, M.W., Lock, J., 1990. IAGA global paleomagnetic database. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc. 20, 191–205.
- McElhinny, M.W., McFadden, P.L., 1997. Paleosecular variation over the past 5 million Myr. Based on a new generalized database. Geophys. J. Int. 131, 240–252.
- McElhinny, M.W., McFadden, P.L., 2000. Paleomagnetism: Continents and Oceans, International Geodynamics Series. Volume 73. Academic Press, New York, pp. 386.
- McFadden, P.L., 1980. Determination of the angle in a Fisher distribution which will be exceeded by a given probability. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc. 60, 391–396.
- McFadden, P.L., 1990. A new fold test for paleomagnetic studies. Geophys. J. Int. 103, 163–169.
- McFadden, P.L., 1998. The fold test as an analytical tool. Geophys. J. Int. 135, 329–338. McFadden, P.L., Jones, D.L., 1981. The fold test in paleomagnetism. Geophys. J. R.
- Astron. Soc. 67, 53–58.
- McFadden, P.L., McElhinny, M.W., 1988. The combined analysis of remagnetization circles and direct observations in paleomagnetism. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 87, 161–172.
- McFadden, P.L., McElhinny, M.W., 1990. Classification of the reversal test in paleomagnetism. Geophys. J. Int. 103, 725–729.
- McFadden, P.L., Merrill, R.T., McElhinny, M.L., 1988. Dipole/quadrupole family modeling of paleoscular variation. J. Geophys. Res. 93, 11,583–11,588.
- McFadden, P., Merrill, R., McElhinny, M., Lee, S., 1991. Reversals of the Earth's magnetic field and temporal variations of the dynamo families. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 96, 3923–3933.
- McMenamin, M.A.S., McMenamin, D.L.S., 1990. The Emergence of Animals: The Cambrian Breakthrough. Columbia University Press, New York (217 pp).
- McNaughton, N.J., Rasmussen, B., Fletcher, I.R., 1999. SHRIMP U-Pb dating of diagnetic xenotime in siliclastic sedimentary rocks. Science 285, 78–80.
- Meert, J.G., 2009. News and views: in GAD we trust. Nat. Geosci. 2, 673-674.
- Meert, J.G., Pandit, M.K., 2015. Precambrian evolution of Peninsular India and its link to

basin evolution. Geol. Soc. Lond. 29-54 Special Publication #43.

- Meert, J.G., Van der Voo, R., Patel, J., 1994. Paleomagnetism of the late Archean Nyanzian System, western Kenya. Precambrian Res. 69, 113–131.
- Meert, J.G., Van der Voo, R., Ayub, S., 1995. Paleomagnetic investigation of the Neoproterozoic Gagwe lavas and Mbozi complex, Tanzania and the assembly of Gondwana. Precambrian Res. 74, 225–244.
- Meert, J.G., Pruett, F.D., Merino, E., 2009. An "inverse conglomerate" paleomagnetic test and timing of in-situ Terra Rossa formation at Bloomington, Indiana. J. Geol. 117, 126–138.
- Nagaraju, E., Parashuramulu, V., Babu, N.R., Narayana, A.C., 2018. A 2207 Ma radiating mafic dyke swarm from eastern Dharwar craton, Southern India: Drift history through Paleoproterozoic. Precam. Res. 317, 89–100.
- Nagata, T., Kobayashi, K., Fuller, M., 1964. Identification of magnetite and hematite in rocks by magnetic observation at low-temperature. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 69, 2111–2120.
- Opdyke, N.D.O., 1995. Paleomagnetism, polar wandering, and the rejuvenation of crustal mobility. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 100, 24361–24366.
- Opdyke, N.D.O., Channell, J.E.T., 1996. Magnetic Stratigraphy. International Geophysics Series 64 Oxford University Press (346 pp).
- Özdemir, Ö., Dunlop, D.J., Moskowitz, B.M., 1993. The effect of oxidation on the Verwey transition in magnetite. Geophys. Res. Lett. 20, 1671–1674.
- Pares, J.M., Van der Voo, R., 2013. Non-antipodal directions in magnetostratigraphy: an overprint bias? Geophys. J. Int. 192, 75–81.
- Pesonen, L.J., 1987. Scandanavian paleomagnetists meet. EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 68, 1157.
- Pesonen, L.J., Torsvik, T.H., Elming, S.A., Bylund, G., 1989. Crustal evolution of Fennoscandia-paleomagnetic constraints. Tectonophysics 162, 27–49.
- Pichamuthu, C.S., 1959. The significance of clouded plagioclase in the basic dykes of Mysore State, India. J. Geol. Soc. India 1, 68–79.
- Pike, C.R., Roberts, A.P., Verosub, K.L., 1999. Characterizing interactions in fine magnetic particle systems using first order reversal curves. J. Appl. Phys. 85, 6660–6667.
- Piper, J.D.A., 1987. Palaeomagnetism and the Continental Crust. Open University Press, Milton Kevnes, UK, pp. 434.
- Pivarunas, A.F., Meert, J.G., Miller, S.R., 2018. Assessing the intersection/remagnetization puzzle with synthetic apparent polar wander paths. Geophys. J. Int. 214, 1164–1172.
- Poldervaart, A., Gilkey, A.K., 1954. On clouded feldspars. Am. Mineral. 39, 75–91.
- Rasmussen, B., Bose, P.K., Sarkar, S., Banerjee, S., Fletcher, I.R., McNaughton, N.J., 2002. 1.6 Ga U-Pb zircon age for the Chorhat Sandstone, lower Vindhyan, India: possible implications for early evolution of animals. Geology 30, 103–106.
- Rasmussen, B., Fletcher, I.R., Bengston, S., McNaughton, N.J., 2004. SHRIMP U-Pb dating of diagenetic xenotime in the Stirling Range Formation, Western Australia: 1.8 billion year minimum age for the Stirling biota. Precambrian Res. 133, 329–337.
  Roberts, A.P., Pike, C.R., Verosub, K.L., 2000. First order reversal curve diagrams: a new
- Roberts, A.P., Pike, C.R., Verosub, K.L., 2000. First order reversal curve diagrams: a new tool for characterizing the magnetic properties of natural samples. J. Geophys. Res. 105 (28641–28475).
- Roberts, A.P., Tauxe, L., Heslop, D., Zhao, X., Jiang, Z.X., 2018. A critical appraisal of the "Day" diagram. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 123, 2618–2644.
- Rowley, D.R., 2019. Comparing paleomagnetic study means with apparent wander paths: a case study and paleomagnetic test of the Greater India versus Greater Indian Basin hypotheses. Tectonics 38, 722–740. https://doi.org/10.1029/2017TC004802.
- Salminen, J., Pesonen, L.J., Reimhold, W.U., Donadini, F., Gibson, R.L., 2009. Paleoamagnetic and rock magnetic study of the Vredefort impact structure and the Johannesburg dome, Kaapvaal craton, South Africa-implications for the apparent polar wander path of Kaapvaal craton during the Mesoproterozoic. Precambrian Res. 168, 167–184.
- Schaltegger, U., Davies, J.H.F.L., 2017. Petrochronology of zircon and baddeleyite in igneous rocks: reconstructing magmatic processes at high temporal resolution. Rev. Mineral. Geochem. 83, 297–328.
- Schmidt, P.W., Williams, G.E., Embleton, B.J.J., 1991. Low palaeolatitude of late Proterozoic glaciation: early timing of remanence in haematite of the Elatina Formation, South Australia. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 105, 355–367.
- Schwarz, E.J., 1977. Depth of burial from remanent magnetization: the Sudbury Irruptive at the time of diabase intrusion (1250 Ma). Can. J. Earth Sci. 14, 82–88.
- Selby, D., Creaser, R.A., 2005. Direct dating of the Devonian-Carboniferous timescale boundary using the Re-Os black-shale geochronometer. Geology 33, 545–548.
- Shipunov, S.V., Muraviev, A.A., Bazhenov, M.L., 1998. A new conglomerate test in paleomagnetism. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc. 133, 721–725.
- Smith, R.L., Stearn, J.E.F., Piper, J.D.A., 1983. Paleomagnetic studies of the Torridonian sediments, NW Scotland. Scott. J. Geol. 19, 29–45.
- Stephens, M.A., 1964. The testing of unit vectors for randomness. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 59, 160–167.
- Sun, W., Jackson, M., 1994. Scanning electron microscopy and rock magnetic studies in remagnetized early Paleozoic carbonates from Missouri. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 99, 2935–2942.
- Tan, X., Kodama, K.P., 2003. An analytical solution for correcting palaeomagnetic inclination error. Geophys. J. Int. 152, 228–236.
- Tassinari, C.C.G., Bettencourt, J.S., Geraldes, M.C., Macambira, M.J.B., Lafon, J.M., 2000. The amazonian craton. In: Cordani, U.G. (Ed.), Tectonic Evolution of South America, 31st IGCP Conference Publication, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, pp. 41–95.
- Tauxe, L., Kent, D.V., 2004. A new statistical model for the geomagnetic field and the detection of shallow bias in paleomagnetic inclinations: Was the ancient field dipolar? In: Channell, J.E.T., Kent, D.V., Lowrie, W., Meert, J. (Eds.), Timescales of the Internal Geomagnetic Field Geophysical Monograph. 145. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, pp. 101–116.
- Tauxe, L., Kodama, K.P., 2009. Paleosecular variation models for ancient times: clues

from Keweenawan lava flows. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 177, 31-45.

- Tauxe, L., Watson, G.S., 1994. The fold test: an eigen analysis approach. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 122, 331–341.
- Tauxe, L., Kodama, K., Kent, D.V., 2008. Testing corrections for paleomagnetic inclination error in sedimentary rocks: a comparative approach. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 169, 152–165.
- Torsvik, T.H., Briden, J., Smethurst, M., 1999. SIAPD Computer Program. http://www.ngu.no/geophysics.
- Van der Voo, R., 1969. Paleomagnetic evidence for the rotation of the Iberian Peninsula. Tectonophys. 7, 5–56.
- Van der Voo, R., 1990. The reliability of paleomagnetic data. Tectonophysics 184, 1–9. Van der Voo, R., 1993. Paleomagnetism of the Atlantic, Tethys and Iapetus Oceans.

Cambridge University Press, UK, pp. 411. Van der Voo, R., Channell, J.E.T., 1980. Paleomagnetism in orogenic belts. Rev. Geophys.

- Space Phys. 18, 455–481.
- Van der Voo, R., Meert, J.G., 1991. Late Proterozoic paleomagnetism and tectonic models: A critical appraisal, Precam. Precam. Res. 53, 149–163.
- Veikkolainen, T., Pesonen, L.J., Evans, D.A.D., 2014. PALEOMAGIA a PHP/MYSQL

- paleomagnetic database for the Precambrian. Stud. Geophys. Geod. 58, 425–441. Watson, G.S., 1956a. Analysis of dispersion on a sphere. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 7, 153–159.
- Watson, G.S., 1956b. A test for randomness of directions. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 7, 160–161.
- Watson, G.S., Enkin, R.J., 1983. The fold test in paleomagnetism as a parameter estimation problem. Geophys. Res. Lett. 20, 2135–2137.
- Westphal, M., Pfaff, H., 1986. Paléomagnétisme et magnétisme des roches. Doin, Rueil Malmaison, France (131 pp).
- Zechmeister, M.S., Pannalal, S., Elmore, R.D., 2012. A Multidisciplinary Investigation of Multiple Remagnetizations Within the Southern Canadian Cordillera SW Alberta and SE British Columbia. #371. Geological Society of London Special Publication, pp. 123–144.
- Zhang, Y.-B., Li, Q.-L., Lau, Z.-W., Wu, F.-Y., Yang, J.-H., Zhai, M.-G., 2015. Diagenetic xenotime dating to constrain the initial depositional time of the Yao-Liao Rift. Precambrian Res. 271, 20–32.
- Zijderveld, J.D.A., 1967. AC demagnetization of rocks: analysis of results. In: Runcorn (Ed.), Methods in Paleomagnetism. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 254–286.